Review and Approval of a UW System Policy on the Annual Distribution of Tuition and Fee Revenue and State General Purpose Revenue to Each Institution

BUSINESS AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

That, upon the recommendation of the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves for submission to the Legislature's Joint Committee on Finance the attached policy regarding the annual distribution of tuition and fee revenue and state general purpose revenue to each institution. This policy would be effective for the 2013-15 biennium, and a sunset of June 30, 2015 is anticipated.

12/06/13 1.2.b.

December 6, 2013 Agenda Item I.2.b.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF A UW SYSTEM POLICY ON THE ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION OF TUITION AND FEE REVENUE AND STATE GENERAL PURPOSE REVENUE TO EACH INSTITUTION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

S. 9148(4m) of 2013 Wisconsin Act 20 requires the UW System to submit to the Joint Finance Committee by January 1, 2014, for approval through 14-day passive review: (1) proposed limits on PR account balances for the UW System as a whole and for each individual UW institution and proposed reports related to those limits; (2) proposed policies regarding the annual distribution of tuition and fee revenue and state general purpose revenue to each institution; and (3) proposed policies regarding the expenditure of tuition and fee revenues and state GPR by each institution. This paper focuses on item (2).

The University of Wisconsin System will submit the attached policy on annual distribution of funds, codifying the current practice, to the Joint Finance Committee for use in the 2013-15 biennium. The System recommends sunsetting the policy on June 30, 2015 by which time the Board would have received recommendations for updating the policy from a workgroup being charged to revisit the policy. The recommendations of the workgroup, if approved by the board, would be incorporated into the planned distribution in each year of the next biennium.

REQUESTED ACTION

Upon the recommendation of the President of the University of Wisconsin System, the Board of Regents approves this policy for submission to the Legislature's Joint Committee on Finance regarding the annual distribution of tuition and fee revenue and state general purpose revenue to each institution. This policy would be effective for the 2013-15 biennium, and a sunset of June 30, 2015 is anticipated.

DISCUSSION

This paper includes a proposed Regent policy for allocation of tuition and fees and state GPR funds for the 2013-15 biennium, sunsetting June 30, 2015. It also provides a description of how funding is currently allocated in the UW System, along with the allocation methods used in 2013-14. This information will be provided to the Legislature's Joint Committee on Finance, as required in the biennial budget, prior to January 1, 2014. A workgroup will be established to review the current process and make

recommendations for updating the policy to the Board for implementation beginning with the 2015-16 fiscal year. The workgroup will report to the Board at its June 2014 meeting.

Similar to the State of Wisconsin, the UW System uses a base plus (or minus) allocation approach. UW System institutions assume a shared responsibility for the funding of some key items, including general pay plan, health insurance, retirement contributions, utilities, and new initiatives that are funded by GPR and tuition. The institutions keep all of the tuition revenues they generate, and state funding makes up the difference between projected tuition revenues and the institution's total GPR/Fee allocation. Institutions keep any tuition revenues they generate above projected levels, but are held responsible for tuition shortfalls. Budget reductions are generally made in a manner equal to each institution's proportional share of the adjusted GPR/Fee base from the previous fiscal year. System staff discuss allocation methodologies with the Chief Business Officers and the Chancellors each biennium.

This paper takes each column of the following table and describes the allocation method used to distribute the changes in revenue. This table is a modified version of the Table A-1, which is included annually in the University of Wisconsin System's Operating Budget and Fee Schedules document. That table summarizes the major categories of changes in funding by institution from the prior year to the proposed budget being presented to the Board.

| | | | | | | TARLE A-1a | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|--|------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | Universi | University of Wisconsin System | System | | | | | |
| | | | | | FY 20 | FY 2013-14 Annual Budget | udget | | | | | |
| | | | | | Changes By Fr | Changes By Funding Category By Institution | y By Institution | | | | | |
| • | ٩ | ζ | 6 | Ē | Ē | Ç | | | | 2 | - | N |
| ¥ | q | ١ | ď | 4 | 1 | ٥ | п | - | | 4 | 1 | IAI |
| | | | | | | | Subtotal | | | | | |
| | 2012-13 GPR/Fees | Compensation Adjustments | Budget Reduction | Utilities | Other | Fringe Benefit Changes | Dollar Change | Subtotal Percent Change | Debt Service | Entrepreneurial Tuition | 2013-14 GPR/Fees | Total Change |
| Madison | 934,532,155 | 4,829,827 | -20,022,411 | -835,759 | 401,927 | 3,702,606 | -11,923,810 | -1.3% | 13,367,254 | 12,793,430 | 948,769,029 | 14,236,874 |
| Milwaukee | 312,816,937 | 1,644,442 | -6,926,897 | 255,812 | 8,088 | 4,066,614 | -951,941 | -0.3% | -3,026,064 | 494,000 | 309,332,932 | -3,484,005 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eau Claire | 110,403,995 | 592,232 | -2,638,113 | -49,526 | -8,663 | 2,202,463 | 98,393 | 0.1% | 463,228 | 130,510 | 111,096,126 | 692,131 |
| Green Bay | 52,859,378 | 259,717 | -1,270,934 | -113,982 | -7,316 | 1,090,561 | -41,954 | -0.1% | 1,178,478 | 2,061,500 | 56,057,402 | 3,198,024 |
| La Crosse | 97,836,924 | 407,458 | -2,124,871 | 162,991 | 16,343 | 2,340,887 | 802,808 | 0.8% | 2,329,798 | 7,759,210 | 108,728,740 | 10,891,816 |
| Oshkosh | 107,305,632 | 588,776 | -2,551,009 | -241,879 | 7,511 | 2,122,966 | -73,635 | -0.1% | 2,204,577 | 160,418 | 109,596,992 | 2,291,360 |
| Parkside | 49,972,317 | 239,814 | -1,192,427 | -252,811 | -33,098 | 693,407 | -545,115 | -1.1% | 1,146,640 | 0 | 50,573,842 | 601,525 |
| Platteville | 80,191,379 | 330,872 | -1,463,588 | -766,003 | -6,918 | 616,544 | -1,289,093 | -1.6% | 1,812,706 | 3,241,052 | 83,956,044 | 3,764,665 |
| River Falls | 60,603,698 | 285,869 | -1,457,719 | -58,340 | -10,784 | 436,015 | -804,959 | -1.3% | 1,025,962 | 6,529 | 60,831,230 | 227,532 |
| Stevens Point | 87,784,962 | 493,640 | -2,173,989 | -247,695 | 35,183 | 1,334,610 | -558,251 | -0.6% | 984,456 | 0 | 88,211,167 | 426,205 |
| Stout | 87,467,601 | 414,912 | -2,001,741 | -71,539 | -4,030 | 1,422,560 | -239,838 | -0.3% | 2,604,719 | 1,084,097 | 90,916,579 | 3,448,978 |
| Superior | 37,569,630 | 163,912 | -810,293 | -243,442 | 246,200 | 41,104 | -602,519 | -1.6% | 1,948,991 | 0 | 38,916,102 | 1,346,472 |
| Whitewater | 100,779,271 | 495,270 | -2,227,613 | 413,627 | 62,674 | 33,975 | -1,222,067 | -1.2% | 3,738,101 | 10,284,269 | 113,579,574 | 12,800,303 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colleges | 75,601,535 | 417,717 | -1,958,013 | -48,452 | 80,685 | 1,760,919 | 252,856 | 0.3% | 642,794 | 0 | 76,497,185 | 895,650 |
| Extension | 133,923,451 | 530,143 | -2,395,967 | -9,707 | 102,551 | 2,153,095 | 380,115 | 0.3% | 716,560 | 3,491,126 | 138,511,252 | 4,587,801 |
| UWSA/Systemwide | 82,967,291 | 109,706 | -869,615 | 2,106,705 | -65,153 | 480,785 | 1,762,428 | 2.1% | 0 | 0 | 84,729,719 | 1,762,428 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| System Total | 2,412,616,156 | 11,804,307 | -52,085,200 | 0 | 825,200 | 24,499,111 | -14,956,582 | -0.6% | 31,138,200 | 41,506,141 | 2,470,303,915 | 57,687,759 |

Compensation Adjustments include a 1% state approved pay plan increase and state approved cost to continue items.

Description of the 2013-14 allocation methods used for each major funding category in Table A-1a are presented below:

1) Compensation Adjustments (Column C) reflect the cost of a 1% pay plan, along with other state approved cost to continue items. The other state approved cost-to-continue items are distributed based upon actual costs at an institution. The 1% pay plan increase is distributed to institutions based on an increase of 1% of their October 2012 payroll for permanent employees and graduate assistants (excluding increases for represented groups which will be added when the agreements are reached). The distribution for these items is shown below:

Compensation Adjustments

| | October 2012 | | Other State | |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | Payroll Base | 1% Salary | Approved Cost- | 2013-14 |
| | (excluding | Increase over | to - Continue | Compensation |
| Compensation | represented | Base | based on Actual | Adjustment |
| | groups) | | | |
| Madison | 455,218,634 | 4,552,188 | 277,639 | 4,829,827 |
| Milwaukee | 147,816,282 | 1,478,164 | 166,278 | 1,644,442 |
| Eau Claire | 49,416,432 | 494,165 | 98,067 | 592,232 |
| Green Bay | 23,981,718 | 239,817 | 19,900 | 259,717 |
| La Crosse | 39,177,787 | 391,779 | 15,679 | 407,458 |
| Oshkosh | 50,266,021 | 502,660 | 86,116 | 588,776 |
| Parkside | 22,346,016 | 223,459 | 16,355 | 239,814 |
| Platteville | 26,316,660 | 263,168 | 67,704 | 330,872 |
| River Falls | 27,693,757 | 276,939 | 8,930 | 285,869 |
| Stevens Point | 40,557,704 | 405,575 | 88,065 | 493,640 |
| Stout | 36,143,643 | 361,437 | 53,475 | 414,912 |
| Superior | 15,028,372 | 150,283 | 13,629 | 163,912 |
| Whitewater | 46,253,858 | 462,536 | 32,734 | 495,270 |
| Colleges | 38,339,005 | 389,340 | 28,377 | 417,717 |
| Extension | 47,689,525 | 470,943 | 59,200 | 530,143 |
| Sys Admin/wide | 10,970,739 | 109,706 | 0 | 109,706 |
| Total | 1,077,216,153 | 10,772,159 | 1,032,148 | 11,804,307 |

2) **Budget Reductions** (Column D) are a combination of the base reduction included in the 2013-15 biennial budget (\$32,844,300) and the estimated shortfall in fringe benefit and pay plan funding (\$19,240,900). The distribution is made in a manner equal to each institution's proportional share of the adjusted 2012-13 GPR/Fee base. The adjusted base excludes debt service, utilities, financial aid, separately budgeted academic tuition, and Extension credit programs.

Budget Reductions

| | 2012-13 | | | |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Adjusted | | | |
| Reductions | Base | Base Reduction | Unfunded Costs | Total |
| Madison | 686,243,822 | \$12,625,892 | \$7,396,519 | \$20,022,411 |
| Milwaukee | 237,410,989 | \$4,368,018 | \$2,558,879 | \$6,926,897 |
| Eau Claire | 90,418,141 | \$1,663,562 | \$974,551 | \$2,638,113 |
| Green Bay | 43,559,735 | \$801,436 | \$469,498 | \$1,270,934 |
| La Crosse | 72,827,381 | \$1,339,918 | \$784,953 | \$2,124,871 |
| Oshkosh | 87,432,757 | \$1,608,635 | \$942,374 | \$2,551,009 |
| Parkside | 40,869,000 | \$751,930 | \$440,497 | \$1,192,427 |
| Platteville | 50,162,722 | \$922,921 | \$540,667 | \$1,463,588 |
| River Falls | 49,961,542 | \$919,220 | \$538,499 | \$1,457,719 |
| Stevens Point | 74,510,839 | \$1,370,891 | \$803,098 | \$2,173,989 |
| Stout | 68,607,237 | \$1,262,274 | \$739,467 | \$2,001,741 |
| Superior | 27,771,823 | \$510,961 | \$299,332 | \$810,293 |
| Whitewater | 76,348,733 | \$1,404,706 | \$822,907 | \$2,227,613 |
| Colleges | 67,108,528 | \$1,234,699 | \$723,314 | \$1,958,013 |
| Extension | 82,118,868 | \$1,510,868 | \$885,099 | \$2,395,967 |
| System Admin/ | | | | |
| Systemwide | 29,805,005 | \$548,369 | \$321,246 | \$869,615 |
| Total | 1,785,157,122 | \$32,844,300 | \$19,240,900 | \$52,085,200 |

3) **Utilities** (*Column E*) funding did not increase in 2013-14. Institutional budgets fully fund estimated 2012-13 expenditures increased by amounts required for new space and the cogeneration power plant at UW-Madison as requested in the biennial budget for 2013-14. The remaining funding is held centrally in Systemwide.

Utilities

| | | 1 | | |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | Estimated | | |
| | Estimated | Cost of New | Less 2012-13 | Adjustment to |
| Utilities | Expenditure* | Space/Co-Gen | Budget | Allocation |
| Madison | 73,208,068 | 3,262,946 | (77,306,773) | (835,759) |
| Milwaukee | 11,906,688 | 263,407 | (11,914,283) | 255,812 |
| Eau Claire | 2,256,036 | | (2,305,562) | (49,526) |
| Green Bay | 2,139,052 | | (2,253,034) | (113,982) |
| La Crosse | 2,951,708 | | (2,788,717) | 162,991 |
| Oshkosh | 3,354,028 | 11,975 | (3,607,882) | (241,879) |
| Parkside | 2,250,796 | | (2,503,607) | (252,811) |
| Platteville | 2,735,127 | | (3,501,130) | (766,003) |
| River Falls | 2,098,210 | | (2,156,550) | (58,340) |
| Stevens Point | 3,128,631 | | (3,376,326) | (247,695) |
| Stout | 2,246,017 | | (2,317,556) | (71,539) |
| Superior | 1,661,346 | | (1,904,788) | (243,442) |
| Whitewater | 3,350,495 | 15,272 | (2,952,140) | (413,627) |
| Colleges | 3,653,255 | | (3,701,707) | (48,452) |
| Extension | 272,611 | | (282,318) | (9,707) |
| Increase to | | | | |
| Systemwide** | | | | 2,106,705 |
| Total | 117,212,068 | 3,553,600 | (122,872,373) | 0 |

^{*2012-13} estimated expenditure as of 5/31/13

4) Other Adjustments (Column F) distributes resources for financial aid along with full funding of lease increases and directed moves administered through the Department of Administration (\$825,200). This column also includes shifts in funding from centrally held resources in UW Systemwide accounts to the UW Colleges, Extension and four year institutions. The financial aid changes and shifts between institutions net to zero.

Financial Aid - the 2013-14 budget did not increase the Lawton Undergraduate Minority Retention Grant and the Advanced Opportunity Program (AOP) in 2013-14. Funding for the AOP was based on each institution's proportion of a three-year rolling average

^{**}Reflects changes in centrally held resources for institutions

headcount of the statutorily-designated eligible population of minority/disadvantaged graduate students.

The Lawton Grant allocation reflected the first year of a three-year conversion from the previous allocation methodology (three-year rolling average of undergraduates from eligible populations who are registered for at least six credits) to reflect a change in the program eligibility criteria (three-year rolling average of resident undergraduates from eligible populations who are registered full-time). Funding will continue to be based on each institution's proportion of a three-year rolling average headcount of the eligible student population. For 2013-14, the three-year rolling average includes one year of the revised methodology and two years of the previous approach. The transition to the revised Lawton Grant allocation methodology will be completed by 2015-16.

5) **Fringe Benefits** (*Column G*) the budget includes an increase of \$24,499,111 for changes in health insurance costs, variable fringes associated with compensation adjustments, and full funding of fringe benefit costs. The allocation of fringe benefits is prorated based upon 2012-13 actual expenditures. At the end of the year dollars may be shifted among institutions to cover all expenditures or to proportionally distribute any shortfalls to all institutions.

6) **Debt Service** (*Column J*) the budget provides a \$31,138,200 increase in 2013-14 for debt service. The allocation of debt service is prorated based upon 2011-12 actual expenditures. Debt Service funding is sum-sufficient and will be provided to institutions as needed. Any excess funding will be returned to the state.

Debt Service

| | % of 2011-12 | 2013-14 | Less 2012-13 | Adjustment to |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| Debt Service | Expenditures | Allocation | Budget | Allocation |
| Madison | 44.94% | 106,002,670 | 92,635,416 | 13,367,254 |
| Milwaukee | 7.72% | 18,197,471 | 21,223,535 | -3,026,064 |
| Eau Claire | 3.42% | 8,077,734 | 7,614,506 | 463,228 |
| Green Bay | 3.32% | 7,818,947 | 6,640,469 | 1,178,478 |
| La Crosse | 4.55% | 10,721,809 | 8,392,011 | 2,329,798 |
| Oshkosh | 4.95% | 11,668,858 | 9,464,281 | 2,204,577 |
| Parkside | 2.66% | 6,271,218 | 5,124,578 | 1,146,640 |
| Platteville | 3.93% | 9,276,494 | 7,463,788 | 1,812,706 |
| River Falls | 2.87% | 6,770,797 | 5,744,835 | 1,025,962 |
| Stevens Point | 3.86% | 9,094,428 | 8,109,972 | 984,456 |
| Stout | 5.05% | 11,908,950 | 9,304,231 | 2,604,719 |
| Superior | 3.44% | 8,102,910 | 6,153,919 | 1,948,991 |
| Whitewater | 6.99% | 16,484,781 | 12,746,680 | 3,738,101 |
| Colleges | 1.28% | 3,026,590 | 2,383,796 | 642,794 |
| Extension | 1.03% | 2,432,043 | <u>1,715,483</u> | <u>716,560</u> |
| Total | 100.00% | 235,855,700 | 204,717,500 | 31,138,200 |

7) **Entrepreneurial Tuition** (*Column K*) - the adjustment is provided as requested by the institutions to reflect growth in tuition revenue due to differentials, distance education or other self-support activity, growth in enrollment, changes in mix of students, etc. These adjustments often reflect growth in revenue that occurred in a previous year.

Entrepeneurial Tuition Revenue

| | Entrepreneurial |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| | Tuition Growth |
| Madison | 12,79,3430 |
| Milwaukee | 494,000 |
| Eau Claire | 1,305,010 |
| Green Bay | 2,061,500 |
| La Crosse | 7,759,210 |
| Oshkosh | 160,418 |
| Parkside | 0 |
| Platteville | 3,241,052 |
| River Falls | 6,529 |
| Stevens Point | 0 |
| Stout | 1,084,097 |
| Superior | 0 |
| Whitewater | 10,284,269 |
| Colleges | 0 |
| Extension | 3,491,126 |
| Total | 41,506,141 |

The 2013-14 allocations differ somewhat from the standard practice because the legislature froze tuition for resident undergraduate students for the biennium and the Board of Regents did not increase tuition for other groups in the 2013-14 fiscal year.

REGENT POLICY DOCUMENT XX-YY UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM POLICY ON THE ANNUAL DISTRIBUTION OF TUITION AND FEE REVENUE AND STATE GENERAL PURPOSE REVENUE

Scope

This policy addresses how state general purpose revenue and tuition allocations are created for UW institutions, UW System Administration, and UW System-wide activities during the 2013-15 biennium. It is anticipated this policy will sunset June 30, 2015.

Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to clarify how state GPR and tuition allocations are created, and to describe how state GPR and tuition combine to form the GPR/Fee base.

Policy Statement

The Board of Regents adopts an annual budget which includes allocations of state funding (GPR) and tuition (Fees) for each UW System institution, and allocations of GPR for UW System Administration and System-wide activities. Combined, these allocations constitute what is known as the GPR/Fee base.

The UW System uses a base-plus approach to creating the annual GPR/Fee base budget. The starting point for each new GPR/Fee base is the previous year's budget. This base is adjusted up or down based on final decisions by the Legislature and the Board of Regents. Adjustments include funding approved by the Legislature for salary and fringe benefits adjustments for state-supported positions, utilities, debt service, approved new initiatives, or other changes to the UW System's appropriation as determined by the Legislature.

The UW System's GPR/Fee base is heavily dependent on tuition revenue and therefore enrollment levels of the various institutions. The tuition revenue component of each institution's GPR/Fee base budget is known as the tuition revenue target.

In an effort to maintain educational quality at all UW institutions, the following principles are considered when creating GPR/Fee allocations:

- Funding for cost-to-continue increases (pay plan, health insurance, and utilities) should be distributed in a way that makes each institution whole for these cost increases.
- The amount of tuition generated by each institution should be maintained from year to year. Tuition revenue levels need to be maintained from year to year to maintain GPR/Fee allocation levels.
- All of the tuition generated by an institution should remain at that institution, so that it can be applied toward the institution's budgeted tuition revenue requirement.

 When the UW System faces sizeable GPR base reductions or when GPR funding cuts, adjustments, or legislative mandates disproportionately impact UW System institutions (such as tuition remissions for veterans), resource allocations to institutions should attempt to make the impact on each institution proportional to its share of the overall GPR/Fee base.

In any given year, the actual tuition collected by an institution may exceed its tuition revenue target because of fluctuations in enrollment level or student mix (part-time/full-time or resident/non-resident). Such tuition revenue remains at the institution to cover any unbudgeted expenses, or if unexpended is added to the institution's year-end fund balance. If an institution exceeds its tuition revenue target on a recurring basis, it may request additional budget authority. The additional authority will be added to the institution's GPR/Fee allocation and to its revenue target.

Likewise, an institution may also request additional budget authority for revenues from institution- specific programming/initiatives. These include tuition differentials, tuition from students in self-supported programs, tuition generated from enrollment growth, tuition above the regular graduate rate for students in professional schools, tuition from nonresident students enrolled via the Midwest Student Exchange, the Tri-State, or the return to Wisconsin programs, and growth in application fee revenues. This additional authority will be added to the institution's GPR/Fee allocation and to its tuition revenue target.

If the actual tuition collected by an institution falls short of its tuition revenue target, the institution will need to reduce expenditures or reallocate revenues from another appropriate source. If an institution falls short of its tuition revenue target on a recurring basis, it may request a reduction in budget expenditure authority. The reduced authority will be removed from the institution's GPR/Fee allocation and the tuition revenue target will be lowered.

Related Regent Policies and Applicable Laws

Chapter 20, Wisconsin Statutes

Regent Policy Document XX-YY, University of Wisconsin System Policy on the Expenditure of Tuition and Fee Revenue and State General Purpose Revenue

December 6, 2013